Yasha Bronshteyn, Esq. 1 Ginzburg & Bronshteyn, LLP 2 2008 DEC - 1 PM 12: 18 11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1840 3 Los Angeles, CA 90025 4 5 Tel. (310)914-3222 6 Fax (310)914-4242 7 SBN: 210248 8 9 Julie Cohen Lonstein, Esq. 10 Lonstein Law Office, P.C. 1 Terrace Hill, P.O. Box 351 11 Ellenville, NY 12428 12 Tel. (845) 647-8500 13 Fax (845) 647-6277 14 15 16 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 19 WESTERN DIVISION 20 DIRECTV, Inc., a California corporation, 21 22 23 Plaintiff. 24 25 Civil Action No. CV08-07873 CAS (MANY) ٧. 26 27 ASHOK K. KUMAR, Individually, and as officer, director, shareholder and/or principal of 28 COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a 29 LAMPPOST PIZZA, and COZAK FAMILY 30 ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST 31 32 PIZZA, 33 34 Defendant. 35 36 37 38 PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT 39 Plaintiff, DIRECTV, sues, ASHOK K. KUMAR, Individually, and as officer, 40 1. 41 director, shareholder and/or principal of COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST

Filed 12/01/08 Page 1 of 17 Page ID

Case 2:08-cv-07873-CAS-MAN

PIZZA, and COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA, (referred to

hereinafter as "DEFENDANT" or "DEFENDANTS", and states:

I. JURISDICTION

- 2. This lawsuit is brought pursuant to the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, 47 U.S.C.§§521. et seq. (The "Act") as an action for declaratory and injunctive relief and damages for the improper receipt, transmission, and exhibition of satellite programming signals in violation of the Act. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter to this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331. Pursuant to which the United States District Courts have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action. The Defendants to this action had or have an agent or agents who has or have independently transacted business in the State of California, and certain activities of the Defendants giving rise to this action took place in the State of California; more particularly, Defendants' acts of violating federal laws and DIRECTV, Inc.'s proprietary rights as distributor of the satellite programming transmission signals took place within the Central District of California. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendants have its principal place of business within the State of California; thus, this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants.

II. VENUE

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b) as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within Ventura County, which is

within the Central District of California [28 U.S.C.§84(c)(2)].

3 III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, DIRECTV, Inc. ("DIRECTV") is at all times relevant hereto a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of California. DIRECTV is a major distributor of satellite programming doing business throughout the United States. Through its operations DIRECTV provides interstate direct broadcast satellite programming to satellite dish owners who pay for the programming via a subscription fee and obtain a programming license from DIRECTV in return for a subscription. The obvious result of a user subscription is that users can then watch programs on their televisions and/or listen to certain high quality audio programs communicated electronically by DIRECTV via satellite (the "Satellite Programming"). DIRECTV holds proprietary rights to the Satellite Programming it transmits and DIRECTV is the owner of and/or a lawfully designated distribution agent for such Satellite Programming.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, ASHOK K. KUMAR, is an officer of the defendant, COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC., for the premises located at 530 New Los Angeles Avenue, Suite 101, Moorpark, CA 93021, and resides in the State of California.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, LAMPPOST PIZZA, is the premises name located at 530 New Los Angeles Avenue, Suite 101, Moorpark, CA 93021.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA, is located and doing business at 530 New Los Angeles Avenue, Suite 101, Moorpark, CA 93021, and Cozak Family Enterprises Inc., has an address of 5533 Lake Lindero Drive, Agoura Hills, CA 91301, as a commercial establishment.

IV. <u>FACTS</u>

- 9. DIRECTV incorporates and realleges by reference allegations within paragraphs 1 through 8 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. By way of further allegation, DIRECTV alleges that to prevent unauthorized entities and persons from viewing the Satellite Programming, DIRECTV encrypts the satellite transmissions.

 Upon payment of the appropriate subscription or license fees, DIRECTV authorizes and enables the subscriber to unscramble and receive the Satellite Programming.
- 11. DIRECTV provides services to homes based on residential rates and to commercial establishments under commercial rates. Because equipment used with DIRECTV services can be moved from residential locations to commercial establishments without DIRECTV's knowledge, owners of commercial establishments wishing to use DIRECTV's programming, for their own commercial gain, at the lower residential rates can surreptitiously gain access to DIRECTV programming without proper authorization by subscribing to DIRECTV services under a residential account and then installing/moving the equipment to their business and utilizing those services in a commercial environment.
- 12. On or about and prior to December 2, 2007, DEFENDANTS willfully received and displayed Satellite Programing to the public and for commercial benefit or financial gain; specifically, DEFENDANTS received and displayed, among other programs, National Football League games only available through DIRECTV's premium "NFL Ticket" service. Such Satellite Programming was displayed without authorization from DIRECTV.
- 13. DEFENDANTS, without entitlement, without prior permission or authorization from DIRECTV, and without having paid DIRECTV for the right to receive, broadcast, use or display

DIRECTV's Satellite Programming in the commercial establishment known as LAMPPOST PIZZA, has received, assisted in receiving, transmitted, assisted in transmitting, divulged, published and displayed the content and substance of DIRECTV's Satellite Programming at such DEFENDANTS place of business, LAMPPOST PIZZA. Without authorization, DEFENDANTS displayed and/or published such Satellite Programming to their customers and others. DEFENDANTS' conduct violates several federal statutes, (including 18 U.S.C. §§2511 and 2512 and 47 U.S.C. §605) and laws of the State of California. Moreover, DEFENDANTS' acts were unauthorized, willful, and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

- 14. DIRECTV has been damaged by DEFENDANTS in that (a) DIRECTV has been denied subscription fees for commercial use of its Satellite Programming; (b) DIRECTV's sales have been reduced through DEFENDANTS unfair competition with DIRECTV's authorized customers; and (c) DIRECTV's proprietary rights in the Satellite Programming have been impaired. In addition, DEFENDANTS profited and gained commercial advantage from the unauthorized and willful use of DIRECTV's Satellite Programming.
- 15. DIRECTV has been required to retain attorneys to prevent DEFENDANTS' wrongful acts and to prosecute this action. Due to DEFENDANTS' statutory violations, DIRECTV is entitled to recover the reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in prosecution of this action under federal law.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

Count 1 - Damages for Violations of Cable Communications Policy Act [47 U.S.C. §605(e)(3(C)]

16. DIRECTV incorporates and realleges by reference allegations within paragraphs 1

through 15 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 17. DIRECTV alleges on information and belief, that DEFENDANTS effected unauthorized interception and receipt of Satellite Programming by ordering programming for residential use and subsequently displaying the programming in a commercial establishment for commercial gain without authorization, or by such other means which are unknown to DIRECTV and known only to DEFENDANTS.
- 18. Each of the DEFENDANTS' acts violates federal law. DEFENDANTS, illegally and without authorization, intercepted, received and exhibited, or otherwise assisted in the unauthorized interception, reception or exhibition of Satellite Programming transmitted by DIRECTV. Moreover, DEFENDANTS divulged or published the existence contents, substance, purport, effect or meaning of such satellite communications. Further, DEFENDANTS used such communications for their own benefit or for the benefit of others who were not entitled to such communications. Each of these acts is a practice prohibited by 47 U.S.C. §605(a).
- 19. DIRECTV is a person aggrieved by the DEFENDANTS violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 and is authorized to institute this action against the DEFENDANTS pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605(e)(3)(A).
- DEFENDANTS' violations of 47 U.S.C. §605 have injured DIRECTV's ability to maximize the revenues which it seeks to derive from the Satellite Programming, as DIRECTV has been deprived of the benefit of subscribers to the Satellite Programming. As a further result of such violations, DIRECTV's goodwill and reputation have been usurped by DEFENDANTS while DEFENDANTS gained and will continue to gain unjust profits and undeserved goodwill. DIRECTV is entitled to costs, reasonable attorney's fees, actual damages, suffered, and profits obtained by

DEFENDANTS attributable to its illegal conduct.

- 21. Alternatively, DIRECTV is entitled to statutory damages in an amount not less than \$1,000 nor more than \$10,000 for each violation of 47 U.S.C. §605(a).
- 22. DIRECTV will further show that DEFENDANTS conduct in violation of 47 U.S.C. §605(a) was committed willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.
- 23. Due to DEFENDANTS willful conduct, DIRECTV is entitled to statutory damages in an amount not less that \$10,000 and up to \$100,000 for each willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605(a).

Count 2 - Damages for Violations of 18 U.S.C. §2511

- 24. DIRECTV incorporates and realleges by reference allegations within paragraphs 1 through 23 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. For further cause of action, DIRECTV alleges that DEFENDANTS intentionally intercepted, endeavored to intercept, or procured other persons to intercept electronic communications from DIRECTV. DEFENDANTS further disclosed or endeavored to disclose to others the contents of electronic communications, knowing or having a reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of electronic communications in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511. DEFENDANTS further intentionally used or endeavored to use the contents of electronic communications, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of electronic communications in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511.
- 26. DIRECTV is a person whose electronic communications are being intercepted, disclosed and/or intentionally used in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2520.

27. Due to DEFENDANTS wrongful conduct, DIRECTV is entitled, under 18 U.S.C. §2520, to the greater of the sum of (1) actual damages suffered by DIRECTV and the profits made by the DEFENDANTS as a result of their conduct, or (2) statutory damages in an amount the greater of \$10,000 or \$100 per day for each day DEFENDANTS acted in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511.

Count 3 - Civil Conversion

- 28. DIRECTV incorporates and realleges by reference allegations within paragraphs 1 through 27 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. By virtue of the conduct set forth above, DEFENDANTS have unlawfully converted DIRECTV's property for its own commercial use and benefit.
- 30. Such conversion was done intentionally and wrongfully by DEFENDANTS to deprive DIRECTV of its proprietary interests and for DEFENDANTS direct commercial benefit and advantage.
- 31. Due to DEFENDANT's wrongful conversion of DIRECTV Satellite Programming, DIRECTV suffered damages.

VI. REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 32. DIRECTV incorporates and realleges by reference allegations within paragraphs 1 through 31 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. DIRECTV further alleges that unless restrained by this Court, the DEFENDANTS will continue to receive, intercept, transmit, and exhibit the Satellite Programming, illegally and without authorization, in violation of 47 U.S.C. §605. The DEFENDANTS intercepted and publicly

exhibited the Satellite Programming without authorization on at least one occasion and DIRECTV cannot practicably detect or determine each occasion on which DEFENDANTS have intercepted and publicly exhibited the Satellite Programming.

- 34. The violations of 47 U.S.C. §605 set forth above have caused and will continue to cause DIRECTV irreparable harm.
- 35. DIRECTV cannot practicably determine the loss of subscribers and lost revenues resulting from the DEFENDANTS unlawful conduct. In addition to diminishing DIRECTV's revenues, the DEFENDANTS' unlawful conduct injures DIRECTV's reputation and goodwill as well as its ability to attract and finance the future acquisition, production, and distribution of quality programming, thereby impairing DIRECTV's ability to enhance its future growth and profitability.
 - 36. DIRECTV has no adequate remedy at law to redress the violations set forth above.

VII. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DIRECTV, INC., prays that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against DEFENDANTS and:

- a. Declare that DEFENDANTS unauthorized interception, reception, and public commercial exhibition of DIRECTV's electronic communications, or its assistance in the performance of such unauthorized actions, was in violation of 18 U.S.C. §2511 and 47 U.S.C. §605, and that such violations were committed willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage and private financial gain;
- b. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. §2520(b)(1) and 47 U.S.C. §605(e)(3)(B)(I) enjoin DEFENDANTS, its owners, officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them from (I) interfering with DIRECTV's proprietary rights, (ii) intercepting, receiving, divulging, or displaying DIRECTV's Satellite Programming without prior written consent of DIRECTV; and (iii) further violations;
- c. Award DIRECTV statutory damages in the amount of the greater of \$10,000 or \$100

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

per day for each day DEFENDANTS violated 18 U.S.C. §2511, or alternatively, DIRECTV requests judgment for actual damages, plus damages equal to any profits attributable to the DEFENDANTS' violations of 18 U.S.C. §2511;

- d. Award DIRECTV statutory damages in the amount of \$10,000 for each violation of 47 U.S.C. \$605, plus an additional \$100,000 for each violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. \$605(e)(3)(C)(ii); alternatively, DIRECTV requests judgment for actual damages, plus damages equal to any profits attributable to the DEFENDANTS' violations of 47 U.S.C. \$605;
- e. That the Court award punitive damages;
- f. That this Court award DIRECTV its costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, prejudgment interest and post-judgment interest, and such other relief to which DIRECTV may be entitled.

Dated: November 25, 2008 Ellenville, New York

Respectfully submitted,

DIRECTV, Inc.

By:

JULIE COHEN CONSTEIN, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff

LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C.

Office and P.O. Address

1 Terrace Hill: P.O. Box 351

Ellenville, NY 12428

Telephone: (845) 647-8500

Facsimile: (845) 647-6277

Email: Info@signallaw.com

Our File No. NFL7-13GA-C01V

By:

ASHA BRONSHTEYN

Bar Roll No. 210248

GINZBURG & BRONSHTEYN, LLP

Resident Counsel for Plaintiff

11111 Santa Monica Blvd

Ste 1840

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Tel. (310)914-3222

Fax (310)914-4242

Email: yashagbllp@yahoo.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Chr	ristina A. Snyder	and the assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Margaret A. Nagle.	•	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV08- 7873 CAS (MANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central

			te Judge has been designated		
A	Il discovery related motions	shou	ıld be noticed on the calendar	of th	e Magistrate Judge
_	========	<u> </u>	NOTICE TO COUNSEL		=
	oy of this notice must be served w a copy of this notice must be serv		e summons and complaint on all del n all plaintiffs).	fendar	nts (if a removal action is
Subs	sequent documents must be filed a	at the	following location:		
[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Ц	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:08-cv-07873-CAS-MAN Filed 12/01/08 Page 12 of 17 Page ID #:12 Yasha Bronshtevn, Esq. Ginzburg & Bronshteyn, LLP 11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1840 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel. (310)914-3222 Fax (310)914-4242 SBN: 210248 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DIRECTV, Inc., a California corporation. CASE NUMBER CV08-07873 CAS PLAINTIFF(S) ٧. ASHOK K. KUMAR, Individually, and as officer, director, shareholder and/or principal of COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA. and COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA. **SUMMONS** DEFENDANT(S). TO: DEFENDANT(S): See Attached Sheets A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached omplaint amended complaint □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Julie Cohen Lonstein, Esq. , whose address is Lonstein Law Office, P.C., 1 Terrace Hill, POB 351, Ellenville, NY 12428 . If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court DEC - 1 2008 Dated: NATALIE LONGORIA Deputy Clerk [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an of the United States, Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]. 1198

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

Yasha Bronshteyn, Esq. Ginzburg & Bronshteyn, LLP 11111 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1840 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel. (310)914-3222 Fax (310)914-4242 SBN: 210248

Julie Cohen Lonstein, Esq. Lonstein Law Office, P.C. 1 Terrace Hill, P.O. Box 351 Ellenville, NY 12428 Tel. (845) 647-8500 Fax (845) 647-6277

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION

DIRECTV, Inc., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS

v.

Civil Action No.

ASHOK K. KUMAR, Individually, and as officer, director, shareholder and/or principal of COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA, and COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA,

Defendant.	

CAPTION LIST PLAINTIFF, DIRECTV, INC. EVENTS: Week 13 of NFL Sunday Ticket OUR FILE NOS.: NFL7-13CA-C01V

ASHOK K. KUMAR, Individually, and as officer, director, shareholder and/or principal of COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA, and COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA

530 New Los Angeles Avenue

Suite 101

Moorpark, CA 93021

Our File Nos. NFL7-13CA-C01V

Ventura County

Ashok K. Kumar 5238 Huckleberry Oak Street Simi Valley, CA 93063-4503

Ventura County

Cozak Family Enterprises Inc. 5533 Lake Lindero Drive Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Los Angeles County

Case 2:08-cv-07873-CAS-MAN Document 1

pocument 1 Filed 12/01/08

Page 15 of 17 Page ID

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS CENT RAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself []) DIRECTV, INC., a California corporation.	DEFENDANTS Ashok K. Kumar, et al			
(h) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are represent yourself, provide same.) Lonstein Law Office, P.C., 1 Terrace Hill, P.O. Box 351, Ellenville, NY 12428 Tel. (845)647-8500	nting Attorneys (If Known)			
H. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only				
☐ I.U.S. Government Plaintiff 2 3 Federal Question (U.S.	PTF DEF of This State PTF DEF of Business in this State			
of Parties in Item III)	of Another State			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1V. Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened Form Reopened				
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☑ No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.) CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: s 120,000 plus fees as to each deft.				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) 47 USC §605, et seq. and §553, et seq., 18 U.S.C. §§2511, 2512, 2520. Defendants violated the distribution rights owned by Plaintiff.				
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)				
400 State Reapportionment 110 Insurance PERSONAL 310 Airplan 315 Airplan 316 Airplan 317 Airplan 318 Airplan 318 Airplan 319 Airplan 310 Airplan	INJURY De Product De Product De Product De Product De Product De Property De Property De Property De Property De Product De Property De Property De Product De Property Damage De Product De Product De Product De Product De Product De D			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

°CV08-07873

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, ENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASE If yes, list case number(s):	S: Has this action been pr	eviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes	
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Have any cases been pre	eviously filed in this court the	at are related to the present case? 🗹 No 🗆 Yes	
	 □ A. Arise from the same □ B. Call for determinati □ C. For other reasons w □ D. Involve the same presents. 	e or closely related transaction of the same or substantial would entail substantial duplicatent, trademark or copyright.	ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or , <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUE: (When complete			•	
(a) List the County in this Dis Check here if the governm	nent, its agencies or emplo	byees is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Los Angeles County				
(b) List the County in this Dis ☐ Check here if the government	strict; California County o ent, its agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Ventura County Los Angeles County				
(c) List the County in this Dis	strict; California County o tion cases, use the location	utside of this District; State i on of the tract of land involv	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Los Angeles County				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San B Note: In land condemnation cas	ternardino, Riverside, Vises, use the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land-involved	pul Luis Obispo Counties	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	NEY (OR PRO PER);		Date November 25, 2008	
or other papers as required	by law. This form, approv	real by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relating				
Nature of Suit C	Code Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action	
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

PLAINTIFF, DIRECTV, INC. EVENTS: Week 13 of NFL Sunday Ticket OUR FILE NOS.: NFL7-13CA-C01V

ASHOK K. KUMAR, Individually, and as officer, director, shareholder and/or principal of COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA, and COZAK FAMILY ENTERPRISES INC. d/b/a LAMPPOST PIZZA

530 New Los Angeles Avenue

Suite 101

Moorpark, CA 93021

Our File Nos. NFL7-13CA-C01V

Ventura County

Ashok K. Kumar 5238 Huckleberry Oak Street Simi Valley, CA 93063-4503

Ventura County

Cozak Family Enterprises Inc. 5533 Lake Lindero Drive Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Los Angeles County